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ABSTRACT: There is a debate about the relation between ghrelin and insulin. Many researchers have found low ghrelin level in diabetic patients while others claim that no correlation between them. Thus further investigations are needed to clarify the precise role of ghrelin in the development and/or treatment of diabetes.

To date and up to our knowledge, this is the first study to be conducted on Emirati people in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) to explore the relationship between ghrelin concentration and type 2 diabetes. This research aimed for better understanding about the role of ghrelin hormone in the pathogenesis process of diabetes disease, in an attempt to help patients who find it difficult to control their diabetes by studying the relation between serum total ghrelin levels; insulin and insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the UAE and relate them to the glycemic condition. A cross sectional study was conducted at Rashid Centre for Diabetes and Research (RCDR) in Ajman, UAE. Thirty patients were selected from the outpatient clinic at RCDR and fifteen healthy controls were included in this study.

The blood sample was collected from each participant and analyzed for HbA1c, insulin, glucose and total ghrelin level. Ghrelin level was measured using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique. The results of this study showed that mean ghrelin level was low in both insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant diabetic patients as compared to the control group.

In conclusion, low ghrelin level is associated with poor diabetes control and bad prognosis parameters in type 2 diabetic patients.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is currently the fastest growing debilitating disease in the world [1]. Being a chronic disease, diabetes needs to be controlled and managed early to avoid its complications and sequelae. Ghrelin is a peptide hormone with anabolic functions [2]. It stimulates growth hormone secretion and appetite, decreases fat utilization as a metabolic fuel and increases fat storage in the adipose tissue [3]. Moreover, ghrelin exerts variable effects on glucose metabolism, heart function and inflammatory processes [4]. Due to these characteristics ghrelin has become a hot topic for research focusing on diabetes and its co-morbidities such as hypertension, obesity and atherosclerosis.

The majority of the publications addressing the relationship between ghrelin and insulin resistance and/or diabetic states suggest that a correlation between ghrelin and insulin resistance and/or diabetes might exist [5-7]. A recent study showed that a high circulating ghrelin level was associated with insulin resistance [8]. Furthermore, in obese diabetics, the fasting serum ghrelin is also low compared to the non-obese diabetics [9,10]. This decrease may play a causative role in the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus [3].

There is a significant correlation between the plasma level of ghrelin and serum level of insulin [6]. Most available data suggest a negative association between systemic ghrelin and insulin levels. On the other hand, contradictory results i.e. no association between ghrelin and pathologies of glucose metabolism have been published. In healthy middle-aged men in a euglycaemic hyperinsulinemic clamp study, no association between insulin sensitivity and ghrelin concentration was observed [11]. In addition, ghrelin concentration of subjects with type 2 diabetes was not different from those of normal weight controls and ghrelin concentration seemed to vary according to the body mass index (BMI) and not by diabetic status. Obese subjects with type 2 diabetes had
lower and lean subjects with type 2 diabetes had higher ghrelin concentrations indicating that ghrelin did not appear to be associated with glucose or insulin metabolism [12]. It can be concluded that the independent role of body BMI, glucose and insulin in ghrelin regulation is still controversial.

**STUDY OBJECTIVES:**

To determine if there is possible relationship between fasting total plasma ghrelin level and type 2 diabetes in Emirati population in the UAE and to compare between the fasting total ghrelin level in type 2 diabetic patients and normal healthy subjects.

## II. METHODOLOGY

### Subjects

**A) Patients:** After ethical committee approval, thirty adult Emirati patients with type 2 diabetes aged between 40-60 years with normal liver and kidney functions of BMI <30 kg/m² were enrolled during the month of September 2013 at RCDR in Ajman, UAE. Patients' records were screened to identify their eligibility as type 2 diabetic participants. Type 2 diabetes was defined according to the WHO 1999 criteria for diabetes, i.e., (blood glucose levels must have been taken within the last 12 months and must have still been within the range for diagnosis of that disease). Subjects were approached and informed about the study and provided with a medical participant’s information sheet when they attended their out-patient appointment at the diabetic clinic in the RCDR. At this stage, appointments were arranged for the participants willing to participate in the study and all the enrolled patients were fasted overnight.

**Exclusion Criteria**

**Exclusion criteria for patient’s group:**
1. Non-Emirati patients
2. Age less than 40 and more than 60 years old.
3. Patients with previous diagnosis and evidence of liver failure or disease, renal failure (plasma creatinine above 1.5 mg/dL), cancer, chronic autoimmune, thyroid disease, history of alcohol, drug abuse and smoking.
4. Patients with any obvious major complications of diabetes, including heart diseases and patients who were taking lipid lowering medications.

**B) Controls:** Control participants were recruited during educational campaigns organized by RCDR at certain governmental institutions and fifteen apparently healthy participants were recruited. Their age and weight ranges were comparable to that of the enrolled patients. Participants with previous diagnosis and evidence of liver failure or disease, renal failure (plasma creatinine above 1.5 mg/dL), cancer, chronic autoimmune, thyroid disease, history of alcohol, drug abuse and smoking were excluded.

**Laboratory measurements**

7mL of blood samples were collected from each participant after a 10-12 hour overnight fasting. Sample analysis was performed at the laboratory of RCDR in Ajman, UAE. One milliliter of fresh blood was added to EDTA tube to measure the glycated hemoglobin and the rest of blood was left at room temperature for 30 minutes for clotting. The clotted samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4± 2°C. Serum samples were then separated and acidified with HCl and stored at -20± 5°C till analysis.

All samples were analyzed for the following:

Fasting blood glucose was analyzed by Roche/Hitachi Cobas 6000 (e-501) analyzer using enzymatic glucose assay with hexokinase.

The HbA1c determination was based on the turbidimetric inhibition immunoassay (TINIA) for hemolysed whole blood using Roche/Hitachi Cobas 6000 (c-501) machine.

Serum insulin was measured using Electrochemiluminescence (ECL) immunoassay using Roche/Hitachi Cobas 6000 (e-601) analyzer.

The fasting total ghrelin level was analyzed by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) technique using Demeditec Diagnostics GmbH kit, Germany.
Insulin resistance parameters were calculated from fasting glucose and insulin levels, using Homeostasis Model of Assessment (HOMA) calculator software, downloaded freely from: [http://www.dtu.ox.ac.uk/homacalculator/download.php].

Body Mass Index values were calculated according to the following equation: BMI= Weight (Kg)/Height (m2).

**DATA ANALYSIS:** The results were expressed as (Mean ±Standard Deviation), because they were normally distributed according to Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Two tailed pooled t-test was used for the comparison of means of the measured parameters between the two groups. Correlation coefficients between serum ghrelin levels and other parameters were calculated.

Data entry and analysis were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) software version 21. The P-values and confidence intervals were calculated and P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant, with confidence interval (CI) of 95%.

**Ethical Considerations**
The study protocol was approved by the Al Qassimi Clinical Research Centre (AQCRC), the first Clinical Research Centre at the Ministry of Health in the UAE on 01/May/2013.

**III. RESULTS**
The results of the biochemical parameters in study patients and control subjects were presented in Table 1. The mean ghrelin level in patients (n=30) and control group (n=15) were 325.10 ±150.365 pg/ml and 350.93 ±141.982 pg/ml respectively. The patient’s group was subdivided into insulin resistant group (HOMA-IR ≥ 3) (n=8) with a ghrelin level mean 283 ±171pg/ml and non-insulin resistant (non-IR) (HOMA < 3) (n=22) with a ghrelin level mean 340 ±143. This was done because when the insulin levels of each patient were studied individually, the hyperinsulinemic patients had very high insulin levels that affected the overall mean of insulin level of the diabetic patients group. Therefore, this subdivision was performed (table 2).

**Different biochemical parameters of patients with type 2 diabetes and control groups.**
Serum total ghrelin concentrations were significantly lower (p<0.0001) in IR group (n=8) as well as non-IR group (n=22) (p< 0.001) than the control group as shown in Figure 1.

The HbA1c results were significantly higher in non-IR group (p<0.0001) than the control group, indicating a poor control on the blood glucose 2-3 months prior to the investigation.

**Table 1: Serum biochemical parameters of patients with type 2 diabetes and the control groups (Mean ± Standard deviation)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients (n=30)</th>
<th>Control (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>325.1 ± 150.365</td>
<td>350.93 ± 141.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Blood Sugar (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.53 ± 2.345</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.507**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.40 ± 1.404</td>
<td>5.73 ± 0.458**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µIU/mL)</td>
<td>20.52 ± 19.17</td>
<td>23.47 ± 23.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>27.614 ± 2.141</td>
<td>27.245 ± 2.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2- IR</td>
<td>2.52 ± 1.326</td>
<td>2.29 ± 1.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2- %S</td>
<td>90.9 ± 56</td>
<td>142.4 ± 56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2- %B</td>
<td>53.76 ± 30.37</td>
<td>60.74 ± 35.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p <0.0001
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Figure 1: Comparison between ghrelin level in the control, non-IR and IR groups.

Non-IR: non-insulin resistance
IR: insulin resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Serum ghrelin, BMI, insulin resistance parameters and HbA1c in the IR and non-IR patients and the control groups (mean ± standard deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetic Patients (n=30)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IR (n=8)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin (pg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Blood Sugar (mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µIU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2- IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2- %S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA 2-% B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a): Significant difference (p<0.05) between IR Diabetic and Non-IR groups.
(b): Significant difference (p<0.05) between IR Diabetic and Control groups.
(c): Significant difference (p<0.05) between Non-IR Diabetic and Control groups.

HOMA2-IR : Homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance index
HOMA2-%S: Homeostasis model assessment insulin sensitivity
HOMA2-%B: Homeostasis model assessment beta cell function

Correlation between ghrelin level and other measured parameters in the three studied groups
Figures (2-5) show the correlation coefficient and P-values for the correlation between ghrelin level and the measured parameters in the study groups. A negative correlation is shown in (Figures 2, 3) between total ghrelin level, FBS and HbA1c in non-IR group (P ≤0.05). In IR group, a positive correlation was found between total ghrelin levels and insulin (P ≤0.05) (Figure 4). Ghrelin level correlated positively with insulin sensitivity in all groups. Moreover, it correlated positively with beta cell function only in non-IR group (P ≤0.05). Furthermore, our results illustrate that ghrelin level was not correlated with insulin resistance (Figure 5).
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Figure 2: The relationship between ghrelin and fasting blood sugar in the three studied groups

Figure 3: The relationship between ghrelin and HbA1c in the three studied groups
IV. DISCUSSION

Study populations and design
In the current study, subjects were recruited between the ages of 40 and 60 years. This age group was selected in accordance with the nature of the disease state, because type 2 diabetes was commonly known as late-onset diabetes [13]. Furthermore, the statistics have shown that most of the patients with type 2 diabetes in the UAE fall within this age group [14].

All participants in both groups were Emirati. The patients’ group is a representative sample of type 2 diabetes population. To our knowledge, this is the first study exploring the relationship between total ghrelin hormone and type 2 diabetes in the UAE.

Ghrelin concentrations in the studied groups
The total ghrelin was chosen to be measured, because it is previously shown to be associated with type 2 diabetes in a cross-sectional study [3].
We found that ghrelin concentrations of patients with type 2 diabetes was significantly lower ($P<0.05$) than those of controls group which was in line with previous studies [7, 15-17]. Our findings support the view that low ghrelin level could have a causative role in the development of type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, our results were also confirmed by a previous study which proved that low ghrelin levels are independently associated with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [5].

On the other hand, our results were not supported by Shiiya et al., 2002 [12] work who found that ghrelin concentrations of subjects with type 2 diabetes were not different from those of normal weight controls and ghrelin concentrations seemed to vary according to the BMI and not by diabetes. One study revealed that obese subjects with type 2 diabetes had lower ghrelin levels than lean subjects with type 2 diabetes. This indicated that ghrelin did not appear to be associated with glucose or insulin metabolism, thus the independent role of BMI, glucose and insulin in ghrelin regulation is still controversial [12].

Ghrelin level versus insulin level

Regarding the exact relationship between ghrelin and insulin our results showed that ghrelin level is positively correlated with insulin concentrations in IR diabetic patients ($P<0.005$). Our result was supported by Date et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2002 [18, 19] who found that ghrelin stimulated insulin secretion.

On the other hand, conflicting results have been reported [20, 21]. Some reports suggested that ghrelin either stimulates [18, 19, 22] or inhibits insulin secretion depending on the experimental condition [23,24]. There have been also some claims that insulin (and / or glucose) lowers circulating ghrelin [25]. These findings suggest that ghrelin plays both physiological and pathophysiological roles in insulin release that should be further investigated.

V. CONCLUSION

Low ghrelin level was associated with poor diabetes control (high HbA1c values), insulin resistance parameters (high HOMA 2 IR and low HOMA-%S) in patients with diabetes. Therefore it can be concluded that low ghrelin level is an indicator of adverse consequences in patients with diabetes. These findings were consistent with a role for endogenous ghrelin in the regulation of insulin secretion and suggest that ghrelin antagonism may improve β-cell function.

RECOMMENDATIONS: In view of the above findings and discussions, the following recommendations are made:

1- Similar comparative studies to be conducted in the UAE with a larger sample size in patient with diabetes compared with control groups as this will provide more generalized results.
2- The results of this study can be used as a baseline and it will be useful for comparison with any future related studies.
3- Further studies to be conducted measuring the different forms of ghrelin (AG and UAG), obestatin and GOAT (Ghrelin-O-acyltransferase).

LIMITATIONS:

1- Insulin resistance was evaluated by HOMA software and not by the euglycemic hyper-insulinemic clamp technique.
2- This study didn't measure the levels of AG and UAG, thus we were not able to evaluate and compare the two forms of ghrelin and the AG/UAG ratio in our study. In view of the current research, the physiological importance of the AG/UAG ratio, and also obestatin, warrants further studies to measure all peptides of the ghrelin family, as well as GOAT.
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